www.planitconsulting.com.au



NSW Coastal Design Guidelines

Appendix 1: Assessment checklist for planning proposals

Hierarchy of coastal management areas:

- 1. CWLRA = coastal wetlands and littoral rainforests area
- 2. CVA = coastal vulnerability area
- 3. CEA = coastal environment area
- 4. CUA = coastal use area

Outcome A. Protect and enhance coastal environmental values				
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this	
Outcome A.1 Protect coastal ecosy	stems			
A.1a Avoid development on undeveloped headlands and significant coastal landforms.	CVA, CEA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as an undeveloped headland, or positioned on a significant coastal landform. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, or coastal environment area.	
A.1b Do not increase development or intensify land uses where there is existing development on headlands and significant coastal landforms.	CVA, CEA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as an undeveloped headland, or positioned on a significant coastal landform.	
A.1c Identify, protect and enhance sensitive coastal ecosystems including coastal wetlands, littoral rainforests and other coastal threatened ecological communities that may be affected by development.	CWLRA, CEA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The RPP identifies that coastal wetlands are in the vicinity of the subject site. Baseline Ecological Assessment and stormwater management investigations have confirmed: • the extent of the coastal wetlands, • the subject site does not contain coastal wetlands • appropriate measures are available to protect coastal wetlands and coastal threatened ecological communities.	
A.1d Maintain and protect the presence of beaches, rock	CWLRA, CVA, CEA	Yes - The subject site is located in	Yes – The subject site is not identified as inclusive of a beach,	

water quality, water quantity and



Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consisten with guidelines (Y/N)
platforms, coastal dunes, riparian vegetation and the natural features of foreshores, including along estuaries and coastal lakes.		proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, or coastal environment area.	If 'No', justify this rock platforms, coastal duneriparian vegetation and the natural features of foreshore including estuaries and coastalakes, nor does the RPP include tangible relationship with thes natural features.
A.le Use environmental buffers and limit the number of access points and pathways to protect coastal ecosystems. In some cases, it may not be appropriate to allow public access to areas with highly sensitive ecosystems or animal populations.	CWLRA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP does not seek to create access points and pathways to coastal ecosystems.
A.If Consider if the planning proposal is needed or if development zones could be better located to minimise effects on biodiversity.	CWLRA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The subject sit immediately adjoins the Twee Valley Hospital. The RPP seeks to pursue best practice health precinct planning and cluster symbiotic land uses around the public hospital 'anchor', on land which has not been identified a possessing high biodiversitivalue.
A.1g Avoid development that may disturb, expose or drain areas of Class 1 and Class 2 acid sulfate soils.	CWLRA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is identified as affected by Class 5 acid sulfat soils, and not Class 1 or 2.
A.1h Consider direct and indirect effects of development, including any necessary infrastructure, on water quality, water quality and	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped	Yes – The RPP has considered direct and indirect effects of the proposed development including water quality, and quantity

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **2** of **17**

coastal use area, or water quality and quantity.



Outcome A. Protect and enhance coastal environmental values				
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this	
hydrological flows of waterways and groundwater.		coastal environment area.	Baseline Ecological Assessment and stormwater assessment has not identified any negative impacts to maintaining hydrological flows of waterways and groundwater. Detailed investigations into groundwater, and associated impact considerations are yet to be pursued given the strategic nature of the RPP, and reflective of the provisions contained within the Local Environmental Plan Making Guideline, specifically Attachment C, Supporting Technical Information. As per industry practice, the specific details of which will be resolved through future Development Application processes.	
Outcome A.2 Protect coastal wetla	nds and littoral rain	forests		
A.2a Identify coastal wetlands and littoral rainforests, including areas that could be rehabilitated or restored in the future, and do not increase development or intensify land uses in these areas.	CWLRA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area.	Yes - Baseline Ecological Assessment has confirmed the subject site does not possess coastal wetlands or littoral rainforests. Land possessing coastal wetland characteristics are located to the north of the site, outside of the proponents ownership.	
A.2b Allow for the adaptive management of stormwater runoff so that the quality of water leaving the site is better than predevelopment quality to lessen effects on coastal wetlands or other sensitive receiving environments.	CWLRA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP does not preclude adaptive management of stormwater, and stormwater management investigations have demonstrated the quantity and quality of water can be managed to a neutral or beneficial standard.	
A.2c Provide environmental buffers and riparian corridors that enable the long-term management and protection of areas of biodiversity and ecosystem integrity	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area.	Yes – Baseline Ecological Assessment has confirmed the environmental attributes of the subject site and suitable buffers for future development.	

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **3** of **17**



Requirement	Relevant coastal	Applicable to	Planning proposal is consisten
	management area(s)	planning proposal (Y/N)	with guidelines (Y/N)
	area(s)	(1714)	If 'No', justify this
		The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, use area, or coastal environment area.	
A.2d Identify and protect areas that allow for landward migration pathways for coastal wetlands to respond to climate change.	CWLRA, CEA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal environment area.	Yes – Baseline Ecologica Assessment has confirmed the subject site does not possess coastal wetlands or littora rainforests.
A.2e Exclude land uses that affect the natural state of coastal wetlands and littoral rainforests or that will make it harder to rehabilitate these ecosystems in the future.	CWLRA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area.	Yes – Baseline Ecologica Assessment has confirmed the subject site does not possess coastal wetlands or littora rainforests.
Outcome A.3 Protect marine parks	and aquatic reserv	es	
A.3a Avoid development and land uses that affect the environmental, economic, social and cultural values of marine parks and aquatic reserves.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is no identified as within or adjoining a marine park or aquatic reserve.
A.3b Protect the ecological health of marine parks and aquatic reserves, including providing for riparian vegetation and buffers in their catchments.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is no identified as within or adjoining a marine park or aquatic reserve.

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **4** of **17**



Outcome B Ensure the built environment is appropriate for the coast and local context			
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this
Outcome B.1 Respond to and prote	ect elements that m	ake the place special	
B.1a Integrate development within the natural topography of the site and ensure land use, building scale and height respond sympathetically to coastal landforms.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP provides a built environment that is appropriate for the coastal and local context and a development density and height that responds to the land's topography. Located on elevated land and setback from the coastal foreshore strip, the RPP also accounts for climate change and natural hazard risks, offering improved resilience for the Kingscliff and broader Tweed Coast community.
B.1b Ensure the intended form and footprint of development does not dominate coastal elements, including foreshores, public spaces and other areas of natural beauty	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The subject site is setback from the coastal foreshore strip, whilst the RPP provides a built environment that is commensurate with the adjoining Tweed Valley Hospital, operational needs and best practice urban design. Accordingly, the intended form and footprints will not dominate the coastal elements of the locality.
B.1c Incorporate adaptive, watersensitive urban design into the development footprint to reduce run-off and manage water quality within receiving environments	CWLRA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP does not preclude adaptive, water sensitive urban design, and stormwater management investigations have demonstrated the quantity and quality of water can be managed to a neutral or beneficial standard.
B.1d Ensure that lot sizes, building heights and density are appropriate for the coastal settlement, and complement the existing or desired local character, supported by placebased strategies.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP provides a built environment that is appropriate for the coastal and local context and a development density and height that responds to the land's topography. Located on elevated land and setback from the coastal

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **5** of **17**



Outcome B Ensure the built enviro	Outcome B Ensure the built environment is appropriate for the coast and local context			
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this	
			foreshore strip, the RPP includes building heights that are commensurate with the adjoining Tweed Valley Hospital, operational needs and best practice urban design.	
B.1e Avoid development that would harm geological features and geoheritage.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as adversely affected by geological features, nor contain geoheritage.	
Outcome B.2 Ensure urban develop	pment complement	s coastal scenic value		
B.2a Limit ribbon development and urban sprawl wherever possible. In certain locations, placebased strategies may support increased development density and building heights as a better response to urban growth.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP involves a minor rounding off of the existing urban growth area. The subject site is notably bordered by both Cudgen and Tweed Coast Road, which in turn form a logical extent of the Kingscliff locality and minor urban conurbation. Accordingly, the RPP is not identified as 'ribbon development', nor urban sprawl.	
B.2b Use greenbelts to create, maintain and mark out separation between settlements.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP is supported with land capability and land use conflict risk assessments to ensure the integrity of greenbelts are maintained. Further, the RPP provides a desirable platform to ensure suitable 'gateway' treatments as a threshold to the Kingscliff/Cudgen locality.	
B.2c Consider effects on scenic values and maintain publicly accessible views to significant landmarks.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP has considered effects on scenic values and proposed a staggered built form, which reduces the maximum height of buildings to the subject site's public domain edges, accordingly. Further, the RPP provides a built environment that is commensurate with the adjoining Tweed Valley Hospital (which was supported by specific	

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **6** of **17**



Outcome B Ensure the built environment is appropriate for the coast and local context Relevant coastal Applicable to Planning proposal is consistent Requirement management planning proposal with guidelines (Y/N) area(s) (Y/N) If 'No', justify this visual impact assessment), operational needs and best practice urban design. CEA, CUA **No** – The subject **Yes** – The RPP has considered the **B.2d** Ensure that building heights effect on views from different consider the effect on views from site is not located within a mapped vantage points and proposes a different vantage points. staggered built form, which coastal use area, or coastal reduces the maximum height of buildings to the subject site's environment area. domain public edges, accordingly. Further, the RPP provides a built environment that commensurate with adjoining Tweed Valley Hospital (which was supported by specific visual impact assessment), operational needs and best practice urban design. **No** – The subject Yes - The RPP does not remove **B.2e** Retain or create views from CEA, CUA public spaces. Prioritise this over site is not located opportunity for views from public creating views from private within a mapped spaces, noting the final tenure of coastal use area, or property improvements, such as the coastal community hub, are not formally environment area. resolved. Yes - The subject site is not **B.2f** Provide for active transport CWLRA, CVA, **Yes** - The subject site is located in links along foreshores, including identified as including or forming CEA, CUA along estuaries and coastal lakes, proximity to movement linkage with and between settlements to coastal wetlands coastal estuaries. lakes increase public access and and littoral between settlements. amenity. rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **7** of **17**

coastal

environment area.



Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this
Outcome C.1 Protect and promote	heritage values		
C.1a Ensure development does not harm heritage values or sites.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes- The RPP has been informed by a due diligence assessment led by the Tweed Byron Loca Aboriginal Land Council.
C.1b Work collaboratively with local Aboriginal people before and throughout the planning proposal process.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes- The RPP has been informed by a due diligence assessment led by the Tweed Byron Loca Aboriginal Land Council.
C.1c With permission and guidance from local Traditional Custodians, identify and emphasise significant features of coastal land and sea Country.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes- The RPP has been informed by a due diligence assessment led by the Tweed Byron Loca Aboriginal Land Council.
C.1d With permission and guidance from local Traditional Custodians, identify and protect sacred and significant areas through the appropriate siting of development.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity tocoastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located	Yes - The RPP has been informed by a due diligence assessment led by the Tweed Byron Loca Aboriginal Land Council.

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **8** of **17**



Outcome C. Protect and enhance t			
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this
		within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	
C.1e Ensure land use, building type, scale and height respond to heritage items and areas.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The RPP has been informe by a due diligence assessment le by the Tweed Byron Loca Aboriginal Land Council.
Outcome C.2 Provide public acces	s to significant coas	tal assets	
C.2a Protect and, where practical, improve, public amenity, access to and use of beaches, foreshores, rock platforms, geoheritage sites and headlands, unless you must restrict access for public safety or for environmental or cultural protection. In doing so, consider both current and projected future coastal hazards.	CVA, CEA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as containing, not immediately adjoining beacher foreshores, rock platform geoheritage sites or headlands.
C.2b Identify opportunities to maintain and improve existing public access to beaches, foreshores, coastal waters and coastal lakes that support active and passive recreation activities, where this does not interfere with existing coastal industries.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as containing, not immediately adjoining beaches foreshores, coastal waters and coastal lakes.
C.2c Consolidate access points and consider alternative access to protect sacred and significant Aboriginal cultural areas.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area,	Yes – The subject site is no identified as containing sacred of significant Aboriginal culturareas.

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **9** of **17**

C.2h Prevent the privatisation of

development next to foreshores is

set back, maintains public access

and accessibility, and provides

coastal open space by ensuring



Yes - The subject site is not

identified as comprising coastal

foreshore or open space.

equirement	Relevant coastal management	Applicable to planning proposal	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N)
	area(s)	(Y/N)	If 'No', justify this
		coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	
.2d Maintain and improve preshore access and connections of existing or proposed networks of public open spaces. This accludes waterways, riparian areas, sushland and parks for active and passive recreation.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as containing, not immediately adjoining existing opproposed networks of publicopen spaces.
.2e Consider opportunities to rotect and improve habitat onnectivity through settlements, uch as those described in the reener Places Design Guide.	CWLRA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP has considered opportunities to protect and improve habitat connectivite through settlements and maintains a planning framewor to facilitate such through future Development Application/s.
.2f Avoid development on coastal unes and foreshore reserves nless it is for essential public urposes, such as surf life-saving ub buildings. Any building or cructure located on dunes must e of lightweight construction and elocatable.	CVA, CEA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is no identified as a coastal dune of foreshore reserve.
.2g Define the boundaries of evelopment sites with a public dge – for example, a pedestrian athway or public laneway.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is bordere by active transport infrastructur (pedestrian footpath) and existin public streets (Tweed Coast Roa and Cudgen Road) on 2 x sides.

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **10** of **17**

No – The subject

site is not located

within a mapped

coastal use area, or

CEA, CUA



Outcome C. Protect and enhance the social and cultural values of the coastal zone				
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this	
links and connections to other public accessways.		coastal environment area.		
Outcome C.3 Protect public ameni	ty			
C.3a Avoid development that will overshadow the beach, foreshore or public domain. Apply the standard that there must be no overshadowing before 4 pm (midwinter) and 7 pm (Eastern Daylight Saving Time).	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The RPP will not give rise to overshadowing of the beach or foreshore public domain spaces between 4 pm (midwinter) and 7 pm (Eastern Daylight Saving Time).	
C.3b Protect the amenity of public spaces from buildings, structures or land uses that may be visually and/or acoustically intrusive or create wind funnels.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP is not identified as altering the amenity of formal or natural public spaces by way of visual or acoustic intrusion.	

Outcome D. Support sustainable coastal economies				
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this	
Outcome D.1 Support sustainable i	ndustries and recre	ational activities that	depend on the coast	
D.1a Ensure that development will not harm sustainable coastal industries needing waterfront access, or recreational use of the coastal environment.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not located in an area of coastal industries, nor possess waterfront access.	
D.1b Protect and improve essential facilities such as access ramps and jetties for sustainable coastal industries needing waterfront access.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The RPP does not alter access arrangements for sustainable coastal industries.	
D.1c Ensure access ramps, jetties, pontoons, groynes and other structures do not impede navigation on the water or harm	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to a coastal wetlands and littoral	Yes – The RPP does not involve provisions which impede navigation on the water or harm coastal landforms or impair processes such as surf breaks.	

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **11** of **17**



Outcome D. Support sustainable coastal economies				
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this	
coastal landforms or impair processes such as surf breaks.		rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.		
D.1d Ensure that the proposal considers how development in a waterway may affect the land.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP is not identified as possessing a tangible relationship with the use of waterways.	
Outcome D.2 Promote green infras	structure			
D.2a Do not allow development that is likely to significantly reduce connectivity of existing green infrastructure.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – A Baseline Ecological Assessment has confirmed the ecological qualities of the subject site and their connectivity to adjoining lands. The RPP is not identified as significantly reducing the connectivity of existing green infrastructure.	
D.2b Provide for diverse green infrastructure that can support the changing needs of current and future communities, and provide tourism and recreational opportunities.	CEA, CUA	No – The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The RPP provides an improved planning framework to provide for diverse green infrastructure that can support the changing needs of current and future communities, including tourism and recreational opportunities.	

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **12** of **17**



	Dalamari	A	BI	
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this	
Outcome E.1 Respond to coasta	l processes			
E.1a Planning proposals that affect land within a coastal hazard and risk area must not alter coastal processes in a way that harms the natural environment or other land.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is no identified as affected by coasta hazard, nor possess a relationship with coastal processes.	
E.1b Exclude development in areas affected by a current or projected future coastal hazard that is likely to increase the risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to a coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is no identified as affected by coastal o projected future coastal hazards.	
E.1c Locate or consolidate development in areas with little or no exposure to current and projected future coastal hazards, to ensure public safety and prevent risks to life.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP locates and consolidates critical infrastructure in areas with little or no exposure to current and projected future coastal hazards, to ensure public safety and prevent risks to life.	
E.1d Do not increase development potential or intensify land uses in a coastal hazard or risk area.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to a coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The subject site is no identified as affected by coastal or projected future coastal hazards nor other notable risks.	

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **13** of **17**



Doguironost	Dolovent essets!	Applicable to	Diamning preparations	
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this	
Outcome E.2 Account for natura	al hazard risks			
E.2a Identify areas on and near the proposal that are affected by current or projected future coastal hazards. Ensure that the proposal is compatible with any identified threat or risk.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is no identified as affected by coastal or projected future coastal hazards.	
E.2b Account for potential interaction between coastal hazards and other current and future natural hazards. This includes flooding, bushfires, landslip, heatwaves, severe storms, east coast lows and cyclones. Refer to the Strategic Guide to Planning for Natural Hazards.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP is supported by a Bushfire Risk Assessment, which identifies the subject site as of low bushfire risk when considering the characteristics of the vegetation including fragmentation, public exposure access and previous bushfire history. Asset protection zones have been identified to accounfor this hazard.	
E.2c Manage natural hazard risk within the development site. Avoid using public space or adjoining land to lessen risk.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP is supported by a Bushfire Risk Assessment, which identifies the subject site as of low bushfire risk when considering the characteristics of the vegetation including fragmentation, public exposure access and previous bushfire history. Asset protection zone have been identified to accounfor this hazard.	
Outcome E.3 Account for climate change				
E.3a Demonstrate that the proposal applies a 100-year planning horizon for the full range of climate change projections for coastal hazards. This approach recognises that sea level is projected to	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area,	Yes – The subject site is no identified as subject to coasta hazards, inclusive of a 100-yea planning horizon.	

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **14** of **17**



Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this
continue to rise for centuries because of climate change.		coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	
E.3b Consider how climate change could affect the risk profile of existing natural hazards and create new vulnerabilities and exposure for the proposal in the future.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP is supported by various specialist reports, each of which considers the potential effects of climate change.
Outcome E.4 Provide sustainab	le defences to coas	tal hazards	
E.4a Reduce exposure to coastal hazards by protecting, restoring or improving natural defences. This includes coastal dunes, vegetation, coastal floodplains and coastal wetlands, where suitable.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as subject to coasta hazards, and the RPP development footprint is located above the floodplain, inclusive of climate change scenario.
 E.4b If natural defences are not possible, reduce exposure to coastal hazards without significantly degrading: biological diversity and ecosystem integrity ecological, biophysical, geological and geomorphological coastal processes beach and foreshore amenity, or the social and cultural value of these areas public safety and access to, or use of, beaches or headlands. 	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as subject to coasta hazards, and the RPP development footprint is locate above the floodplain, inclusive of climate change scenario.

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **15** of **17**



Outcome E. Respond to coastal processes					
Requirement	Relevant coastal management area(s)	Applicable to planning proposal (Y/N)	Planning proposal is consistent with guidelines (Y/N) If 'No', justify this		
E.5a Locate and design essential infrastructure to reduce vulnerability to current and projected future coastal hazards. Consider the effects of climate change over at least a 100-year planning horizon.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP leverages the subject site's position away from coastal areas and above the floodplain to suitably locate essential infrastructure.		
E.5b Where exposure to coastal hazards cannot be avoided, prepare adaptation plans for essential service infrastructure. These plans should be consistent with any applicable coastal management program.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The subject site is not identified as exposed to coastal hazards.		
E.5c Consult local Aboriginal land management experts and emergency management agencies on how to strategically locate access routes and other essential infrastructure.	CWLRA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes - The RPP has been prepared inclusion of consultation with the Tweed Byron Local Aboriginal Land Council and leveraging existing access routes and infrastructure, such as emergency evacuation centres.		
Outcome E.6 Change land uses to manage legacy issues and avoid creating new ones					
E.6a Ensure the proposal will not require coastal management interventions to remain viable over its expected lifespan.	CWLRA, CVA, CVA, CEA, CUA	Yes - The subject site is located in proximity to coastal wetlands and littoral rainforest area. The subject site is not located within a mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area.	Yes – The RPP will not require coastal management interventions to remain viable over its expected lifespan.		

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **16** of **17**

Outcome E. Respond to coastal processes



Applicable to Planning proposal is consistent Requirement Relevant coastal management planning proposal with guidelines (Y/N) area(s) (Y/N) If 'No', justify this **E.6b** Consider the potential CWLRA, CVA, **Yes** - The subject site legacy effects of the proposal CEA, CUA is located in proximity and if the proposed land uses or to coastal wetlands development will create a and littoral rainforest social, environmental, area. The subject site economic or cultural burden for is not located within a future generations. mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or precinct coastal environment planning, area. disrupting the integrity

Yes - The RPP considers the potential legacy effects of the proposal and if the proposed land uses or development will create a social, environmental, economic or cultural burden for future generations. Namely, the RPP concludes a positive legacy effect by delivering best practice health without of adjoining land uses and environmental qualities. The RPP is identified as meeting the communities needs for health and social assistance services, including connected housing, education and similar services. **E.6c** Consider if the proposed CWLRA, CVA, **Yes** - The subject site **Yes** – The RPP will reduce existing change of land use could CEA, CUA is located in proximity legacy effects by mitigating the remove redundant legacy to coastal wetlands shortfall in health and social infrastructure or reduce and littoral rainforest assistance infrastructure in a existing legacy effects. area. The subject site sustainable and accessible is not located within a location. mapped coastal vulnerability area, coastal use area, or coastal environment area

COR-TEM-008 v4.0 Page **17** of **17**